Open Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF) for post-discectomy spondylodiscitis: Our experience

Bhagwati Salgotra¹, Sanjeev Attry^{2,*}, Dhruv Patel³, Keval Sansiya⁴

^{1,2}Associate Professor, ³3rd year Resident, ⁴2nd year Resident, Dept. of Neurosurgery, ^{1,3,4}Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Vadodara, Gujarat, ²NIMS Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

*Corresponding Author:

Email: sanjeev222235@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: Post operative lumbar Spondylodiscitis after lumbar discectomy surgery is well known complication with has a variable incidence rate, usually less than 1%.¹ Post op spondylodiscitis is an infection of nucleus pulposus, annulus fibrosis with sometimes secondary involvement of cartilaginous end plates and vertebral bodies following lumbar disc surgeries.² Early diagnosis of discitis based on clinical, laboratory, radiological findings and appropriate management is essential to reduce morbidity.

Aim: Retrospective review of patients undergoing transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) for post-op discitis to evaluate clinical outcome and complications.

Material and Methods: All patients undergone TLIF for post-lumbar discectomy spondylodiscitis at our institute from sept'13 to sept'17 were included in our study. All patients had moderate to severe lumbar backage. Initially a course of conservative treatment with broad spectrum I.V antibiotics was given followed by surgery. On follow up patients were assessed with physical examination and radiographs. Outcome were measured in terms of operative time, blood loss, and surgical complications. Parameters like Visual analogue scale(VAS) for pain,modified Rankin scale (mRS) were evaluate before and after surgery and on subsequent follow up visits.

Results: Modified Rankin scale (mRS) and VAS enhanced in all patients.

Conclusion: Postoperative spondylodiscitis can be successfully managed with transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) and posterior spinal instrumentation. There is significant pain relief and good functional outcome after surgery.

Keywords: Spondylodiscitis, Post discectomy infection, Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF), Lumbar disc infection.

Introduction

Post op Spondylodiscitis after lumbar discectomy was first discussed as a clinical entity 1953.¹ Its incidence varies in various studies, represents 30.1% of all cases of pyogenic spondylodiscitis.² It has been reported to occur after open and minimally invasive spinal surgery, including laminectomies,^{3,4} discectomies,⁵⁻¹⁸ and fusions with or without instrumentation.^{5,13,19–22} There is ongoing debate on management of postoperative disc space infections. Post op infections after lumbar discectomy is still treated with non operative methods and prolonged antibiotics in many cases.³⁰⁻³³ In past, many authors recommended prolonged bed rest and prolonged spinal bracing. Others recommended a staged surgery with a period of antibiotic therapy between first debridement and instrumentation procedures.³⁹⁻⁴² There is no increase in rate of infection in cases where disc debridement and instrumentation is done in same sitting.^{38,43,44} Specially in cases of redo surgery, where presence of scar and fibrosis makes posterior lumbar interbody fusion difficult ,at times impossible, transformational lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) gives excellent surgical exposure, adequate decompression and good outcome.⁴⁵ TLIF is safe and viable option than anteroposterior circumferential fusion or anterior lumbar interbody fusion.45 Therefore, in cases of spondylodiscitis not responding to conservative management having intractable back pain or neurological

deficit can benefit greeatky from a TLIF and posterior instrumentation.

Material and Methods

A retrospective study in which 11 patients with the mean age of 42.5 years (ranging between 22-64yr) among them male were 7 and female were 4 .Duration of study from sept'13 to sept'17. All our patients had undergone single level open discectomy as a method of treatment for symptomatic prolapsed lumbar discs, which was complicated by infection in the operated disc spaces. Conservative treatment with broad spectrum antibiotics and bracing was given initially in all cases. The antibiotic regimen was chosen empirically to cover gram positive, gram negative and anaerobic organisms. Initially and for the first 2 weeks, Ampicillin/ sulbactam, Amikacin and metronidazole were administered intravenously. This was followed by oral ciprofloxacin and clindamycin until normalisation of the CRP. The mean duration of the conservative treatment was 6 weeks (range 4 weeks to 12 weeks). Despite adequate and prolonged conservative treatment, these 11 patients continued to suffer from significant low back pain, the average severity of which, assessed by the visual pain analogue scale (VAS), was 8 (range: 8-10). Plain radiographs revealed disc space narrowing with erosion and sclerosis of the adjacent endplates in all cases. Accordingly, these patients were treated

by single stage surgical debridement, TLIF and posterior instrumentation. Preoperative evaluation included full examination of the patients and their radiological data, including plain radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In addition, laboratory tests were performed in the form of white blood cell count (WBC; count/mm3), C-reactive protein (CRP; mg/dl), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; mm/h). Patients were evaluated by modified Rankin scale (mRS), and the VAS for the severity of back pain. Patients were mobilized within the first few postoperative days, wearing a lumbosacral brace. All patients received a six-week antibiotic regimen (3 weeks intravenous and 3 weeks oral), according to the result of culture and sensitivity. If no organism was identified, the empirical preoperative antibiotic regimen was continued. During the first 6 weeks

(the antibiotics period), ESR and CRP were done on weekly basis, then they were done again during each follow up visit. Plain radiography, VAS and modified Rankin scale mRS were checked during follow-up visits. The mean follow-up period was 18 months (range: 6 months to -36 months).

Results

Noteworthy improvement was noted in VAS and modified Rankin scale (mRS). Intraoperativelly, around 600ml, 0.6 Litre (range: 0.5-0.7 L) blood loss, surgical duration was 165.5 minutes (range: 120 to 240 mins). No growth was obtained in 2 patients reported with Staphylococcus Aureus and 1 reported with acinetobacter. Acceptable radiological fusion was attained in all cases.

Table 1: Lumba	r level (of initial	surgery
----------------	-----------	------------	---------

Disc level	No of patients
L4-L5	7
L5-S1	4

Sr no	Age	Sex	Level	Co-morbidity	Pain Pre op VAS	mRS pre op	Pre-op antibiotic	Time between two surgery	Disc culture	Post op VAS	Post op mRS 1 month	Post op mRS 6 month
1	22	М	L5-S1	-	8	4	2weeks	6weeks	-	1	1	0
2	35	F	L5-S1	-	7	3	2wk	5wk	-	2	2	0
3	43	Μ	L4-L5	diabetic	8	4	2wk	7wk	-	2	3	1
4	40	F	L4-L5	-	8	4	2wk	6wk	-	1	1	0
5	38	Μ	L4-L5	-	7	3	2wk	4wk	-	1	2	0
6	46	Μ	L5-S1	diabetic	8	4	4wk	7wk	staph	1	2	0
7	33	F	L4-L5	-	7	3	2wk	6wk	-	1	1	0
8	29	Μ	L4-L5	-	8	4	2wk	8wk	-	1	1	0
9	56	Μ	L5-S1	-	8	4	4wk	12wk	acinetobacter	3	3	2
10	64	F	L4-L5	diabetic	8	4	4wk	10wk	staph	2	3	1
11	36	Μ	L4-L5	-	7	3	2wk	7 wk	-	1	1	0

Table 2: Patient profile

|--|

Symptoms	No of patients
Pain	11 (100%)
Motor deficit	4 (36.3%)
Fever with chills	3 (27.2%)
Local Tenderness over spine	11 (100%)
Paravertebral muscle spasm	11 (100%)
Difficulty in walking	11 (100%)
Superficial surgical site infection	None
Impairment of sensation of leg	9 (81.8%)
Impairment of bladder and bowel function	None

Post op Complications

Table 4: Post op complications

Complications	
Drug induced hepatitis	1 patient
Drug Allergy / skin rash	1 patient
Transient EHL weakness	1 Patient

In one patient, transient L5 nerve root palsy was noted, which resolved spontaneously over approximately 4 months.



Fig. 1: A: Post discectomy L5-S1 spondylodiscitis. (a) axial T1 contrast view showing disc space infection . (b) T1 contrast sagittal view showing spondylodiscitis, enhancement of vertebral endplates, enhancement of perivertebral soft tissues. (c) T2: high signal in disc space (fluid), high signal in adjacent endplates (bone marrow oedema) loss of low signal cortex at endplates (d) T1: sagittal image showing low signal in disc space (fluid), with low signal in adjacent endplates (bone marrow oedema)



Fig. 2: A: Intraoperative images of TLIF for L5-S1 spondylodiscitis. (a) transpedicular polyaxial screws with rods dural and bilateral roots well decompressed (b) lumbar interbody cage can be seen anterior to dura

Post –op image



Fig. 3: A: Post op case of TLIF for L5-S1 spondylodiscitis. (a) postoperative C.T scan following TLIF coronal view. (b) post op C.T scan saggital view. (c) post op xray A.P view. (d) post op xray saggital view

Discussion

Post operative spondylodiscitis has been reported to have an incidence of 0.26 to 20% after any spine surgery.^{3,8,12,23,48} This incidence is variable and its severity has been reported to generally increases with the complexity of the surgical procedure,49 post operative spondylodiscitis is reported to have an incidence ranging from 0.6% to 3.7% after lumbar discectomy surgery.^{14,50} In case of surgery involving posterior instrumention and fusion ,spine infection is reported to range from 3.7% to 20%.^{51,52} There is a ongoing debate over the exact cause of postoperative spondylodiscitis, many author suggest that it secondary to direct inoculation of an pathogen into the operated disc space which is essentially avascular.^{17,23} Two types of spondylodiscitis has been described, a septic form caused by an infectious and aseptic form secondary to reaction.10,26 inflammatory some authors have suggested that aseptic spondylodiscitis is actually low grade infection of disc space due to less virulent organism.23,53

MRI with contrast is very informative and should be first investigation to rule out post op spondylodiscitis whereas the first plain X-ray L/S spine amy take four to six postoperative week to show a loss of intervertebral disc space height or blurring of adjacent vertebral end plates at the level of disc infection.¹³ MRI is usefull in differentiating a recurrence of disc herniation from post-op spondylodiscitis ,therefore, MRI with contrast is the investigation of choice from diagnosing postoperative spondylodiscitis.⁵ MRI has a sensitivity and specificity of 93% and 97%, respectively.⁶⁰

Once inoculated, disc space infection starts which produces lot of inflammation and sometimes frank pus. However, the causative organism is not always identified in cultures sent for analysis. The most common infectious pathogen islotated in the culture in case of post-op discitis is Staphylococcus aureus followed by other Staph. species^{8,13,16,17,19,21,24,54-56} and anaerobic organisms.²

Other infrequently encountered pathogens are Streptococcus viridans and Streptococcus species,⁵⁵ Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are also isolated in some cases,⁴ rarely discitis can be secondary to fungal infection.^{53,56} In our study all patients received a six-week antibiotic regimen (3 weeks intravenous and 3 weeks oral), empirical broad spectrum antibiotics covering both aerobic and anerobic organisms . 3 patients had positive cultures, in these cases antibiotics were given according to the result of culture and sensitivity. Some studies report that wbc is elevated in 42.6% cases of post-op spondylodiscitis.⁵⁶ ESR levels can be used to monitor antibiotic therapy in cases if spondylodiscitis. A 50 to 66% reduction in levels of ESR suggests control of infection.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹

In past many authors recommend bed rest and prolonged spinal bracing for post-op spondylodiscitis. Others recommended a staged surgery with a period of antibiotic therapy between first debridement and instrumentation procedures.³⁹⁻⁴² Open surgical drainage for spondylodiscitis was once reserved for cases with an epidural abscess.⁶¹

In 1996, Rath et al published a series of 43 cases of lumbar spondylodiscitis who were treated by single stage posterior approach.⁷³ Harms and Rolinger in 1982, with the intention of offering a stable fusion in a single stage approach, pioneered a modified posterior lumbar interbody fusion(PLIF) technique called TLIF - transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion.⁷⁸ Compared with the traditional techniques, TLIF provided many advantages by accessing the spinal canal and disc space through the far-lateral location avoiding retraction on the nerve roots and dural sac ,thus reducing the surgical risk for neurological deficit during surgery. TLIF offers a single-stage decompression and fixation through posterior approach.

Conclusion

Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) is excellent surgery for post discectomy spondylodiscitis .It offers single stage posterior access to spine for debridement of infected tissue and adequate decompression of neural structures with minimal risk and complications. There is significant patient satisfaction in terms of pain relief and early mobilization.

References

- 1. Turnbull F. (1953). Postoperative inflammatory disease of lumbar discs. *J Neurosurg* 10:469–73.
- Jimenez-Mejias ME, de Dios Colmenero J, Sanchez-Lora FJ, et al. (1999). Postoperative spondylodiskitis: etiology, clinical findings, prognosis, and comparison with

nonoperative pyogenic spondylodiskitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 29:339–45.

- Bircher MD, Tasker T, Crashaw C, Mulholland RC. 1(988). Discitis following lumbar surgery. Spine;13:98– 102.
- 4. Fernand R, Lee CK. (1986). Postlaminectomy disc space infection. Clin Orthop. 209:215–8.
- Blankstein A, Rubenstein E, Ezra E, Lokeic F, Capsi I, Horoszowski H. (1987). Disc space infection and vertebral osteomyelitis as a complication of percutaneous lateral discectomy. *Clin Orthop* 225:234–7.
- Brussatis F. (1953). Osteomyelitis nach operation lumbaler diskushernien. *Acta Neurochir* 3:209–30.
- Dauch WA. (1986). Infection of the intervertebral space following conventional and microsurgical operation on the herniated lumbar intervertebraldisc: a controlled clinical trial. *Acta Neurochirurgica* 82:43–9.
- El-Gindi S, Aref S, Salama M, Andrew J. (1976). Infection of intervertebral discs after operation. *J Bone Joint Surg* 58B:114–6.
- 9. Ford LT, Key JA. (1955). Post operative infection of the intervertebral disc space. *South Med J* 48:1295–303.
- 10. Fouquet B, Goupille P, Jattiot F, et al. (1992). Discitis after lumbar disc surgery, features of "aseptic" and "septic" forms. *Spine* 17:356–8.
- 11. Lindholm TS, Pylkkanen P. (1982). Discitis following removal of the intervertebral disc. *Spine* 7: 618–22.
- Pilgaard S. (1969). Discitis (closed space infection) following removal of lumbar intervertebral disc. *J Bone Joint Surg* 51A:713–6.
- Rawlings CE, Wilkins RH, Gallis HA, Goldner JL, Francis R. (1983). Postoperative intervertebral disc space infection. *Neurosurgery* 13:371–5.
- Rohde V, Meyer B, Schaller C, Hassler WE. (1998). Spondylodiscitis after lumbar discectomy. *Spine* 23:615–20.
- 15. Schulitz KP, Assheuer J. (1994). Discitis after procedures on the intervertebral disc. *Spine* 19:1172–7.
- Thibodeau AA. (1968).Closed space infection following removal of lumbar intervertebral disc. *J Bone Joint Surg* 50A:400–10.
- Tronnier V, Schneider R, Kunz U, Albert F, Oldenkott P. (1992). Postoperative spondylodiscitis: results of a prospective study about the aetiology of spondylodiscitis after operation for lumbar disc herniation. *Acta Neurochirurgica* 117:149–52.
- Wilson DH, Harbaugh R. (1981). Microsurgical and standard removal of the protruded lumbar disk: a comperative study. *Neurosurgery* 8:422–5.
- Dall BE, Rowe DE, Odette WG, Batts DH. (1987). Postoperative discitis. *Clin Orthop* 224:138–46.
- Hamilton W, Stambough JL. (1996). Diskitis associated with transpedicular screw fixation. J Spinal Disord 9:68– 71.
- Lang EF. (1968). Postoperative infection of the intervertebral disk space. Surg Clin of North Am 48:649– 60.
- 22. Ozuna RM, Delamarter RB. (1996). Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis and postsurgical disc space infections. *Orthop Clin North Am* 27:87–94.
- 23. Fraser RD, Osti OL, Vernon-Roberts B. (1987). Discitis after discography. *J Bone Joint Surg* 69B:26–35.
- 24. Guyer RD, Collier R, Stith WJ, et al. (1988).Discitis after discography. *Spine* 13:1352–4.
- 25. Osti OL, Fraser RD, Vernon-Roberts B. (1990). Discitis after discography. *J Bone Joint Surg* 72B:271–4.

- Brian JC, Westerman GR, Chadouck WM. (1984). Septic complications of chemonucleolysis. *Neurosurgery* 15:730–4.
- 27. Zeiger HE, Zampella EJ. (1986). Intervertebral disc infection after lumbar chemonucleolysis: report of a case. *Neurosurgery* 18:616–21.
- Scherbel AL, Gardner WJ. (1960). Infections involving the intervertebral disks: diagnosis and management. *JAMA* 174:370–4.
- Weber W. (1954). Klininsches bild und operative behandlung des akuten eitrigen wirbelbandscheibeninfekts. Langenbecks. Arch Chir 278:585–602.
- Chelsom J, Solberg CO(1998). Vertebral osteomyelitis at a Norwegian university hospital 1987-97: clinical features, laboratory findings and outcome. *Scand J Infect Dis* 30:147-151.
- Colmenero JD, Jimenez-Mejias ME, Sanchez-Lora FJ, et al.(1997). Pyogenic, tuberculous, and brucellar vertebral osteomyelitis: a descriptive and comparative study of 219 cases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 56:709-15.
- 32. Stefanovski N, Van Voris LP(1995). Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis: report of a series of 23 patients. *Contemp Orthop* 31:159-164.
- Torda AJ, Gottlieb T, Bradbury R: Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis: analysis of 20 cases and review. *Clin Infect Dis* 1995;20:320-28.
- Dietze DD Jr, Fessler RG, Jacob RP: Primary reconstruction for spinal infections. *J Neurosurg* 1997;86:981-89.
- 35. Fountain SS: A single-stage combined surgical approach for vertebral resections. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1979;61:1011-17.
- Graziano GP, Sidhu KS: Salvage reconstruction in acute and late sequelae from pyogenic thoracolumbar infection. *J Spinal Disord* 1993;6:199-207.
- Przybylski GJ, Sharan AD: Single-stage autogenous bone grafting and internal fixation in the surgical management of pyogenic discitis and vertebral osteomyelitis. J Neurosurg Spine 2001;94:1-7.
- Rezai AR, Woo HH, Errico TJ, et al: Contemporary management of spinal osteomyelitis. *Neurosurgery* 1999;44:1018-26.
- Arnold PM, Baek PN, Bernardi RJ, et al: Surgical management of nontuberculous thoracic and lumbar vertebral osteomyelitis: report of 33 cases. *Surg Neurol* 1997;47:551-61.
- Emery SE, Chan DP, Woodward HR: Treatment of hematogenous pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis with anterior debridement and primary bone grafting. *Spine* 1989;14:284-91.
- 41. Matsui H, Hirano N, Sakaguchi Y: Vertebral osteomyelitis: an analysis of 38 surgically treated cases. *Eur Spine J* 1998;7:50-4.
- Osenbach RK, Hitchon PW, Menezes AH: Diagnosis and management of pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis in adults. *Surg Neurol* 1990;33:266-75.
- 43. Hee HT, Majd ME, Holt RT, et al: Better treatment of vertebral osteomyelitis using posterior stabilization and titanium mesh cages. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2002;15:149-56.
- 44. Liljenqvist U, Lerner T, Bullmann V, et al: Titanium cages in the surgical treatment of severe vertebral osteomyelitis. *Eur Spine J* 2003;12:606-12.
- Salehi SA, Tawk R, Ganju A, LaMarca F, Liu JC, Ondra, SL: Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion: Surgical Technique and Results in 24 Patients. Neurosurgery 2004;54:368-374.

- Mahony FI, Barthel DW: Functional evaluation: the Barthel index. *Md State Med J* 1965;14:61–5.
- Wade DT, Hewer RL: Functional abilities after stroke: Measurement, natural history and prognosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 1987;50:177–82.
- Nielsen AN.: Postoperativ lumbal discitis efter prolapsoperation. Ugesker Laeger 1987;149:714–6.
- Weinstein MA, McCabe JP, Cammisa FP Jr.: Postoperative spinal wound infection: a review of 2,391 consecutive index procedures. *J Spinal Disord* 2000;13:422–26.
- 50. Horwitz NH, Curtin JA.: Prophylactic antibiotics and wound infections following laminectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *J Neurosurg* 1975;43:727–31.
- Abbey DM, Turner DM, Warson JS, Wirt TC, Scalley RD. Treatment of postoperative wound infections following spinal fusion with instrumentation. *J Spinal Disord* 1995;8:278–83.
- 52. Moe JH. Complications of scoliosis treatment. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1967;53:21–30.
- Fraser RD, Osti OL, Vernon-Roberts B. Iatrogenic discitis: the role of intravenous antibiotics in prevention and treatment, an experimental study. *Spine* 1989;14:1025–32.
- Armstrong P, Chalmers AH, Green G, Irving JD. Needle aspiration/ biopsy of the spine in suspected disc space infection. *Br J Radiol* 1978;51: 333–7.
- Hadjipavlou AG, Crow WN, Borowski A, Mader JT, Adesoken A, Jensen RE. Percutaneous transpedicular discectomy and drainage in pyogenic spondylodiscits. *Am J Orthop* 1998;27:188–97.
- Renaudin J. Intervertebral disc space infections. Contemp Neurosurg 1981;3:1–6.
- 57. Hadjipavlou AG, Mader JT, Necessary JT, Muffoletto AJ. Hematogenous pyogenic spinal infections and their surgical management. *Spine* 2000;25:1668–79.
- Beronius M, Bergman B, Andersson R: Vertebral osteomyelitis in Goteborg, Sweden: a retrospective study of patients during 1990-95. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2001;33:527-32.
- Sapico FL: Microbiology and antimicrobial therapy of spinal infections. Orthop Clin North Am 1996;27:9-13.
- 60. Szypryt EP, Hardy JG, Hinton CE, Worthington BS, Mulholland RC. A comparison between magnetic resonance imaging and scintigraphic bone imaging in the diagnosis of disc space infection in an animal model. *Spine* 1988;13:1042–8.
- Hadjipavlou AG, Mader JT, Necessary JT, Muffoletto AJ. Hematogenous pyogenic spinal infections and their surgical management. *Spine* 2000;25:1668–79.
- Dall BE, Rowe DE, Odette WG, Batts DH. Postoperative discitis: diagnosis and management. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987;224:138–146.
- 63. Postacchini F, Cinotti G, Perugia D: Post-operative intervertebral discitis: evaluation of 12 cases and study of ESR in the normal postoperative period. *Ital J Orthop Traumatol* 1993;19:57–69.
- 64. Kon T, Cho TJ, Aizawa T, et al: Expression of osteoprotegerin, receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (osteoprotegerin ligand) and related proinflammatory cytokines during fracture healing. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16: 1004-14.
- Calderone RR, Larsen JM: Overview and classification of spinal infections. Orthop Clin North Am 1996;27:1-8.
- Carragee EJ: Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997;79:874-80.

- Rigamonti D, Liem L, Sampath P, et al: Spinal epidural abscess: contemporary trends in etiology, evaluation, and management. *Surg Neurol* 1999;52:189-97.
- 68. Wiltberger BR: Resection of vertebral bodies and bonegrafting for chronic osteomyelitis of the spine; a case report. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1952;34:215-18.
- Fang D, Cheung KM, Dos Remedios ID, et al: Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis: treatment by anterior spinal debridement and fusion. *J Spinal Disord* 1994;7:173-80.
- Kemp HB, Jackson JW, Jeremiah JD, et al: Anterior fusion of the spine for infective lesions in adults. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1973;55:715-734.
- Fountain SS: A single-stage combined surgical approach for vertebral resections. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1979;61:1011-17.
- Redfern RM, Miles J, Banks AJ, et al: Stabilisation of the infected spine. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988;51:803-07.
- Rath SA, Neff U, Schneider O, et al: Neurosurgical management of thoracic and lumbar vertebral osteomyelitis and discitis in adults: a review of 43 consecutive surgically treated patients. *Neurosurgery* 1996;38:926-33.
- Enker P, Steffee AD: Interbody fusion andinstrumentation. *Clin Orthop* 1994;300:90–101.
- 75. Evans JH: Biomechanics of lumbar fusion. *Clin Orthop* 1985;193:38–46.
- Schlegel KF, Pon A: The biomechanics of posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) in spondylolisthesis. *Clin Orthop* 1985;193:115–19.
- Whitecloud TS III, Roesch WW, Ricciardi JE: Transforaminal interbody fusion versus anterior-posterior interbody fusion of the lumbar spine: A financial analysis. J Spinal Disord 2001;14:100–03.
- Harms J, Rolinger H: A one-stage procedure in operative treatment of spondylolistheses: Dorsal traction-reposition and anterior fusion [in German]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb* 1982;120:343–47.