



## Editorial

# Authorship accountability: Ensuring integrity in scientific publishing

Mohan Kumar P<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Periodontics and Implantology, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 12-09-2024

Accepted 02-10-2024

Available online 14-10-2024

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](#), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: [reprint@ipinnovative.com](mailto:reprint@ipinnovative.com)

## 1. Introduction

As the scientific community grapples with challenges of research integrity, one critical factor requires attention: authorship accountability. The rising complexity of research collaborations, along with the drive to publish, has produced a fertile ground for authorship disputes and misattributions. It is critical that we set clear criteria and take actual actions to guarantee that authorship accurately reflects the contributions of researchers.<sup>1</sup>

Misattributed authorship in scientific research publications is a serious issue with far-reaching repercussions. This problem occurs when credit for research is erroneously awarded, whether by mistake, misrepresentation, or other methods. Misattribution can have consequences for people, research integrity, and the scientific community as a whole.<sup>1</sup>

## 2. Consequences of Misattributed Authorship

Misattributed authorship has far-reaching implications, including:

1. Decreasing trust in the scientific record
2. Threatening the legitimacy of scholars and institutions
3. Inflating individual contributions, resulting in unfair professional development.

4. Ignoring the contributions of deserving researchers, especially junior scientists and minority groups.

In a nutshell misattributed authorship in scientific research publications can have serious consequences for individual researchers, research integrity, and the scientific community as a whole. Addressing these concerns proactively, using clear norms and transparent protocols, is critical to maintaining scientific research's credibility and effectiveness.<sup>1,2</sup>

## 3. Clear Guidelines for Authorship

To resolve these difficulties, we must establish clear and globally acknowledged rules for authorship. Institutions and journals should create and adhere to clear authorship requirements, which include criteria for contribution and accountability.<sup>3,4</sup>

These guidelines should include:

1. Substantial contribution: The authors should have made a significant intellectual contribution to the study. "Substantial contribution" is a key component of authorship criterion in scientific research publications. It ensures that all those who have made significant intellectual contributions to the research are acknowledged and held accountable.
2. Transparency: Authors should explain their individual contributions and roles. Open discussions regarding authorship at the beginning of the research process can

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [mosups@gmail.com](mailto:mosups@gmail.com) (Mohan Kumar P).

assist to avoid disagreements. Transparency regarding participation and positions helps to clarify expectations and duties.

3. **Accountability:** The authors should accept responsibility for the publication's substance and integrity. Accountability in authoring is critical to the integrity and credibility of research in science. It assures that all identified writers are accountable for the work's accuracy, ethics, and overall integrity. By setting clear norms, establishing honest interactions, and sticking to ethical requirements, researchers can uphold accountability principles and contribute to the scientific record's credibility.
4. Regular updates and reviews of authorship lists might help correct any errors before publishing.
5. Effective conflict resolution processes are critical for ensuring the integrity and effectiveness of scientific research. Research teams can handle disputes equitably and productively through adopting preventative strategies, employing both internal and external resolution mechanisms, and employing appropriate tactics for certain types of conflicts. This guarantees that research is performed in an ethical manner, all of the contributors are properly acknowledged, as well as the scientific record stays reliable and trustworthy.

#### 4. Implementing Authorship Accountability

To assure authorship responsibility, we suggest the following:

1. **Authorship forms:** Encourage authors to fill out standardized forms outlining their contributions.
2. **Contribution statements:** Incorporate statements in publications that describe each author's role.
3. **Transparent peer review:** Promote open peer review to identify and avoid authorship misattributions.
4. **Institutional policies:** Create explicit authoring policies and procedures for research institutes.

5. **Education and training:** Guide researchers through best procedures for authorship.

#### 5. Conclusion

Authorship responsibility is critical to the integrity of scientific publishing. By creating clear principles and putting in place mechanisms to ensure transparency and accountability, we can maintain research credibility while also promoting a fair and responsible culture. The scientific community must work together to maintain the highest levels of authorship integrity.

#### 6. Conflict of Interest

None

#### References

1. Singhal S, Kalra BS. Publication ethics: Role and responsibility of authors. *Indian J Gastroenterol.* 2021;40(1):65–71.
2. Alfonso F, Zelveian P, Monsuez J, Aschermann M, Boehm M, Hernandez AB, et al. Authorship: From Credit to Accountability. Reflections From the Editors' Network. *J Hong Kong Coll Cardiol.* 2020;28(1):1–3.
3. Misra DP, Ravindran V, Agarwal V. Integrity of Authorship and Peer Review Practices: Challenges and Opportunities for Improvement. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2018;33(46):287.
4. Flanagan A, Domingo KB, Berkwitz M, Christiansen SL. Nonhuman "authors" and implications for the integrity of scientific publication and medical knowledge. *Jama.* 2023;329(8):637–46.

#### Author biography

**Mohan Kumar P**, Associate Professor

**Cite this article:** Mohan Kumar P. Authorship accountability: Ensuring integrity in scientific publishing. *International Dental Journal of Student's Research* 2024;12(3):108-109.