Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals



IP International Journal of Medical Microbiology and Tropical Diseases

Journal homepage: https://www.ijmmtd.org/

### **Original Research Article**

# Susceptibility analysis of various bacteria towards colistin and other antibiotics in clinical isolates

Bhumireddy Rama Chandra Reddy<sup>1</sup>, Ramavath Usha Rani<sup>1</sup>, Sravanthi Brungi<sup>1</sup>, Sreeja Vamsi Kogila<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Microbiology, SVS Medical College, Mahabubnagar, Telangana, India



ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Article history: Received 20-12-2023 Accepted 19-01-2024	<b>Objectives:</b> This study aimed to determine the <i>in vitro</i> susceptibility of Gram-negative bacilli isolated from various clinical samples of patients admitted to ICUs of hospitals in Telangana region against colistin and compared with other antibiotics.
Available online 27-01-2024	Materials and Methods: In the present study clinical pathogen isolates were used for the susceptibility test. A total of 1852 consecutive Gram-negative isolates were tested for Colistin susceptibility. All the
<i>Keywords:</i> Colistin Bacteria Susceptibility MIC Clinical isolates	<ul> <li>basterial isolates of Enterobacteriaceae (e.g., Escherichia coli, Klebsiella), Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acineto bacterbaumanii were included. All Colistin resistant isolates were processed to detect the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics by the broth micro-dilution method.</li> <li><b>Results:</b> It was observed that in <i>Pseudomonas spp.</i> and <i>E. colispp</i> the susceptibility was quite significant whereas it was not much significant for other species of bacteria studied namely, <i>Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Klebsiella</i>, and <i>Proteus spp.</i></li> <li><b>Conclusion:</b> <i>Pseudomonas spp.</i> and <i>E. coli</i> resistance indicated that there is an argent need to get the current situation under control by implementing appropriate measures to slow down the progression of antibiotic resistance in gram-negative bacteria in Telangana.</li> <li><b>Novelty:</b> <i>Pseudomonas</i> and <i>E. coli</i> showed significant resistance to Colistin compared with other antibiotics than other gram-negative bacilli isolated from various clinical samples. There is a shortage of clinical data available in South India regarding the prevalence of gram-negative bacteria in this particular area.</li> </ul>
	This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.
	For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

#### 1. Introduction

Increased usage of antibiotics has occurred in the last few decades. As a result, there isan increase in antibiotic resistance among gram-negative bacteria due to the genetic modifications and mutations that lead to multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria. The degree of drug resistance in a bacterial species is directly proportional to the use of a particular antibiotic in the community.<sup>1,2</sup> This in turn is associated with increased mortality and morbidity.<sup>3</sup> In today's world it is becoming a critical global issue and the World Health Organisation (WHO) predicts that by 2050 antibioticresistant microbes may have caused the death of ten million people every year.<sup>4,5</sup> This is a serious threat because it will impair the medical interventions in terms of managing the patient.<sup>5</sup> Thus, the medical world has taken recourse to Colistin and polymixins as a last resort to fight this menace with some success.

Colistin is a cyclic hexapeptide with a tripeptide side chain acylated at the N terminal by a fatty acid which is of two types: Colistin A (Polymyxin E1) and Colistin B (Polymyxin E2). These two drugs react with the cell

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijmmtd.2023.051

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: vamisreeja@gmail.com (S. V. Kogila).

<sup>2581-4753/© 2023</sup> Author(s), Published by Innovative Publication.

membrane of Gram negative bacteria by disturbing the calcium and magnesium ion channels<sup>6</sup> Both are very potent and are considered as good antibiotics against Multi Drug Resistant gram-negative Bacteria.<sup>7,8</sup> The effectiveness of colistin against bacterial resistant nosocomial infections caused by *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* spp. has been reported earlier<sup>6</sup> However due to the increased usage of colisitn in the last couple of decades has resulted in the development of antimicrobial resistance especially in gram-negative bacteria.<sup>6</sup> On the other hand, there is little research happening towards the discovery of new antibacterial and this has a major implication towards treating microbial diseases which is throwing the medical world into confusion.<sup>9</sup>

The frequent mutations which happens in the genetic material of the bacteria are the primary reasons for the antimicrobial resistance developed in bacteria. Most of the strains of *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are found to have different mechanisms of resistance.<sup>2,7</sup>

The mechanism by which the gram-negative bacteria can develop resistance against colisitn is by mcrgene. It is one such important gene which is present in the bacteria. This gene is rapidly transferred to other bacteria by plasmid mediation leading to the spread of the multidrug-resistant bacteria into the animal and human populations, with resistant bacteria causing major health hazards and almost all bacterial strains have developed this resistance. There are also other mechanisms by which the resistance is attained such as a reduction in the number of receptors on the outer membrane or in the efflux pumps, extended spectrum  $\beta$  lactamases (ESBL), and carbapenemases.<sup>10</sup> This has greatly challenged the use of colistin at a global level.

There is also evidence worldwide that reveals the spread of the mcr-1 gene by plasmid transfer to different species and strains of *Enterobacteriaceae*, including MDR strains.<sup>11</sup> Previous reports have shown that the phenotypic and genotypic detection of colistin-resistant *E. coli* from patients in tertiary care hospital.<sup>12,13</sup> In addition to this, in Greece, there is an increase of carbapenemases among *Enterobacteriaceae* to more than 30%.<sup>14</sup>

There is also detection of colistin-resistant gramnegative bacteria in food, water and animal sources.<sup>14</sup> This indicates the prevalence of colistin-resistant bacteria. Due to antimicrobial resistance, the treatment options for infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial strains are limited. This makes it hard to control the possibilities of controlling and treating the spread of hospital contamination.<sup>15</sup>

Multiple studies dealt with the prevalence and implications of colistin-resistant gram-negative bacteria in India.<sup>16,17</sup> However, there are not many studies on the scenario in South India and on which bacterial species in dominant. In this study, we reported the colistin microbial

susceptibility against various gram-negative bacterial spp. from clinical isolates in Telangana.

#### 2. Methods and Materials

#### 2.1. Sample collection

This cross-sectional study was ethically approved (SVSMC/IEC-Approval NO-05/2018-625) conducted in intensive care units including PICU, NICU, OBGY, TBCD and other wards of SVS Medical College and Hospital, Mahabubnagar, Telangana during August 2018 to December 2020. This study was carried out on 1852-gram negative bacilli isolated from the bacterial samples obtained from different patients (ICUs). The isolates were collected from clinical microbiology laboratory of our tertiary hospital, all of which were detected Colistin resistance by the MIC studies.

#### 2.2. Inclusion criteria

Patients of all age groups (including pediatrics) were included. All the bacterial isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* (*e.g., Escherichia coli, Enterobacter spp*), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were included.

#### 2.3. Exclusion criteria

Intrinsically colistin resistant organism including *Proteus sps*, *Providencia spp.*, *Serratia spp* and *Morganella morgani* were excluded.

#### 2.4. Bacterial isolates

A total of 1852 consecutive Gram-negative isolates were tested for Colistin susceptibility. All colistin-resistant isolates were processed to detect the Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics by the broth microdilution method. Interpretation was performed according to the EUCAST breakpoints (www.eucast.org).

## 2.5. *Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin*

Broth microdilution is considered the reference standard for Polymyxin susceptibility testing. Standard *E. coli* strain was used as negative control. For the preparation of the antibiotic stock solution, the Colistin drug was obtained in powder form (commercial source with given potency) and stored at  $4^0$  C until use. Antibiotic stock solution was prepared based on the requirements.

Inoculum was prepared by making a direct broth suspension of isolated colonies selected from the 24-hour agar plate (blood agar) and adjusting the suspension to achieve a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard. Broth microdilution was done in 96-well microtitre plates, filled with Mueller Hinton II broth (CAMHB, Himedia labs). Serial two fold diluted concentrations of antimicrobial agents and McFarland standard-tested bacteria were added. The plates were incubated at 35<sup>o</sup>C for 24-48 hrs. After incubation bacteria growth was assessed by observing turbidity.

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using GRAPH PAD PRISM software Ver 6.0 and the data were analyzed by Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation. The relation between two variables was done by Karl Pearson's/Spearmen's correlation test for continuous data and the association between two variables was done by Chi-Square test/Fisher's exact test for categorical data. A P- value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### 3. Results

In the study with Pseudomonas, the p values of susceptibility ranged in most cases between 0.001 to 0.052 showing significant results in the comparison among other antibacterial drugs and Colistin. The colistin is more sensitive to Pseudomonas spp. compared to antibiotics such as PIT, CFS, CPM, IPM, MRP, AK, GEN, CIP, TGC, TRS and DRP (Table 1). In this study, the occurrence of resistance odds ratio of Pseudomonas with carbapenems like DRP and IPM in the presence of colistin is 4.7, 2.9 times more. The presence of colistin with aminoglycosides also shows an odds ratio of more than 3.5. Colistin with cephalosporines (CFS, CPM, CAZ)are 2.2,2.4,2.4 showing that multiple resistance is high. The odds of Pseudomonas 2.6 showing that multiple resistance (colistin and ciprofloxacin) is 2.6 times more. In Pseudomonas, the least odds ratio colistin with Tigecycline is 0.008 which signifies that colistin and tigecycline were both broad-spectrum antibiotics and tigecycline had a good susceptibility.

In the study with *E. coli*, the p values of susceptibility were significantly more than 0.052 thus showing better results in the comparison among other antibacterial drugs and Colistin. The colsitin is p<0.001 significantly sensitive to *E. coli* species compared to other antibiotics such as CTR, IPM, TGC and NIT (Table 2). The odds of *E. coliare* 76.06 showing that multiple resistance for colistin and TGC is 76.0 times more. In *E. coli* colistin with carbapenems (IPM&MRP) showed an odds ratio of 13.2, and 20.7 respectively. The lowest odds ratio was seen colistin with CTR, CPM, NA, CIP, AK (0.2,0.6,0.3,0.6,0.7).

In the study with *Enterobacter spp.*, the colistinbacterial isolate susceptibility was very less in comparison with other antibacterial drugs and it is resistant against *Enterobacter spp.* Among the tested clinical isolates, antibiotics such as

PIT, CFS, CPM, IPM, MRP, AK, GEN, CIP, CAZ and DRP were less effective against *Enterobacterspp* (Table 3). In *Enterobacter* the highest odds ratio was GN and NIT 3.8 and 2.0. The least odds ratio in *Enterobactersps* is cephalosporines like CFS, CPM with 0.3 and 0.4.

#### 4. Discussion

In the modern past, Antibiotic resistance (AR) has concerned the attention in the clinical field worldwide due to growing health-care costs, morbidity, and mortality due to infectious diseases. The condition is worse in developing countries as evidenced by antibiotic susceptibility reports of bacterial isolates. Although drug resistance is primarily a medical concern, the aspects that impact the spread of resistance are environmental, epidemiological, cultural, communal, andcommercial. In most developing and developed countries antibiotic resistance has become a lesser priority when compared with many other infectious diseases.<sup>18</sup>

This precarious scenario has led to the use of good old forms of antibacterials such as Colistin and polymixin B, which have shown some promise in combating these diseases. There fore colistin was supposed to be the 'lastline' therapeutic drug against multidrug-resistant Gramnegative pathogens in the 21st century.<sup>19</sup> Colistin has been an important antimicrobial agent against aggressive chronic and nosocomial infections due to multidrug-resistant bacteria, and the clinical need for plasmid-mediated colistin resistance in Enterobacteriaceae medically required its use.<sup>20</sup> With the increasing antibiotic resistance, the postantibiotic era will be more dreadful than COVID-19 pandemic. Colistin resistance is also emerging, which should be detected by every laboratory so as to slow down the speed.<sup>21</sup> In this study deals with the comparison of the susceptibility of Colistin and other common antibiotics on various gram-negative bacterial species isolated from various clinical samples such as blood, pus, urine, and pleural fluid. The prevalence of pathogens and the antibiotic susceptibility testing reports in this study are in consistent with other studies. Some of the antimicrobial resistance patterns and percentages are universal, while others appear to be unique for specific parts of the geographic region. Colistin in this new generation is a potent antibiotic therapy for life-threatening infections. Since this infection spreads world highly resistant organisms pressure the growing importance of antimicrobial therapy. This study was conducted to determine the present susceptibility profile of different gram-negative bacteria in clinical isolates of Telangana.

Similarly, there are other studies in India of the same nature which also obtained the same results. Mathur et al analyzed 802 isolates of *K. pneumonia* against colistin, and they found that almost 4% were colisitin-resistant. <sup>16</sup> Likewise, Garg et al also analyzed the resistance

		Antibiotic	ntibiotic Colistin response			sis	
S.No.	Antibiotic	response	Resistant	Sensitive	c2/Fisher test	Odds ratio	Relative risk
1	PIT	Resistant Sensitive	20 19	51 104	c2 = 3.778 P = 0.052	2.147	1.824
2	CFS	Resistant Sensitive	27 22	58 107	c2 = 5.475 P = 0.019	2.264	1.863
3	СРМ	Resistant Sensitive	28 21	57 106	c2 = 6.816 P = 0.009	2.480	1.992
4	IPM	Resistant Sensitive	25 24	43 123	c2 = 9.906 P = 0.002	2.980	2.252
5	MRP	Resistant Sensitive	19 29	41 125	c2 = 3.384 P = 0.066	1.997	1.682
6	AK	Resistant Sensitive	30 19	50 116	c2 = 14.363 P < 0.001	3.663	2.664
7	GEN	Resistant Sensitive	33 16	56 108	c2 = 15.759 P < 0.001	3.978	2.874
8	CIP	Resistant Sensitive	31 18	65 101	c2 = 7.949 P = 0.005	2.676	2.135
9	TGC	Resistant Sensitive	38 9	157 3	c2 = 16.813 P < 0.001	0.0807	0.260
10	TRS	Resistant Sensitive	13 6	4 6	P = 0.236	3.250	1.529
11	DRP	Resistant Sensitive	21 12	39 105	c2 = 14.419 P < 0.001	4.712	3.413
12	LE	Resistant Sensitive	27 18	63 89	c2 = 4.098 P = 0.043	2.119	1.783
13	MI	Resistant Sensitive	9 9	5	c2 = 0.0211 P = 0.885	1.200	1.071

<b>Table 1:</b> Susceptibility analysis of <i>Pseudomonas spp.</i> to colistin and other antibiotics
--

N=1852. PIT - Piperacillin/tazobactum; CFS - Cefoperazonesulbactum; CPM - Cefepime; IPM - Imipenem; MRP - Meropenem; AK - Amikacin; GEN - Gentamycin; CIP - Ciprofloxacin; TGC - Tigicycline; TRS - Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole; DRP - Doroenem; LE - Levofloxacin.



Figure 1: Test for detection of Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin

S.No.	Antibiotic	Antibiotic	Colistin response		Statistical analysis		
5.110.	Anubiotic	response	Resistant	Sensitive	c2/Fisher test	Odds ratio	Relative risk
1	PIT	Resistant Sensitive	5 6	124 217	c2 = 0.0888 P = 0.766	1.458	1.441
2	CXM	Resistant Sensitive	9 1	256 59	c2 = 0.0821 P = 0.774	2.074	2.038
3	CXM-A	Resistant Sensitive	9 1	266 49	c2=0.00117 P = 0.973	1.658	1.636
4	CTR	Resistant Sensitive	4 6	229 86	c2 = 3.622 P = 0.057	0.250	0.263
5	CFS	Resistant Sensitive	4 8	96 245	c2 = 0.00430 P = 0.948	1.276	1.265
6	СРМ	Resistant Sensitive	3	112 228	c2 = 0.0693 P = 0.792	0.679	0.687
7	ETP	Resistant Sensitive	2 8	64 251	c2 = 0.140 P = 0.708	0.980	0.981
8	IPM	Resistant Sensitive	8	57 284	c2 = 18.641 P < 0.001	13.287	11.774
9	MRP	Resistant Sensitive	4 8	53 288	c2 = 1.555 P = 0.212	2.717	2.596
10	AK	Resistant Sensitive	1 10	38 303	c2 = 0.0753 P = 0.784	0.797	0.803
11	GEN	Resistant Sensitive	4 8	85 256	c2 = 0.103 P = 0.748	1.506	1.483
12	NA	Resistant Sensitive	6 3	270 45	c2 = 1.233 P = 0.267	0.333	0.348
13	CIP	Resistant Sensitive	8 4	257 84	c2 = 0.119 P = 0.730	0.654	0.664
14	TGC	Resistant Sensitive	7 2	15 326	c2 = 68.179 P < 0.001	76.067	52.182
15	NIT	Resistant Sensitive	10 0	66 249	c2 = 29.535 P < 0.001	-	-
16	TRS	Resistant Sensitive	7 5	171 170	c2 = 0.0696 P = 0.792	1.392	1.376

 Table 2: Susceptibility analysis of E. coli spp to colistin and other antibiotics

in different bacterial isolates against colistin and found that 9% exhibited carbapenem resistance. These results are consistent with our findings of colistin resistance in *Pseudomonas* and *E. coli spp.*<sup>22</sup>

In this study only in *Pseudomonas* and *E. coli spp.*, thus showed good results upon treatment with other antibacterial drugs and colistin in the comparison among other species especially in BAL, pleural and E.T. These results indicate that most of the bacteria studied indicate they must have developed some degree of resistance, which might have mcrgenetic mechanism to colistin, the treatment strategy should be designed judiciously to get better cure. This report can throw some light on the way bacteria are behaving towards colistinin other rare clinical samples of this region and further study is warranted to encompass more species of bacteria. The *Pseudomonas* and *E. coli* species, which indicated more susceptibility also must be studied to understand their genetic structure via mcr gene activity.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study showed the prevalence of better susceptibility of Colistin against gram-negative bacilli such as *Pseudomonas* and *E. coli spp*. In Telangana state and this can give a better cure for nosocomial infections caused in various hospital wards. The other bacteria studied could have developed genetic modification in the form of mcr gene mutation. However a greater number of studies are required to quantify the data upon colistin sensitivity against various other rare clinical samples of microbial species.

#### 6. Source of Funding

The authors declare that there was no financial aid received.

#### 7. Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest associated with this research work.

C No	A 4" h : - 4" -	Antibiotic	Colistin	response		Statistical anal	ysis
S.No.	Antibiotic	response	Resistant	Sensitive	c2/Fisher test	Odds ratio	Relative risk
1 CXM-A	Resistant	7	29	P = 0.508	0.492	0.583	
1	CAM-A	Sensitive	1	2	P = 0.308	0.483	0.385
2	CTR	Resistant	2	10	P = 1.0	0.840	0.867
Z	CIK	Sensitive	5	21	r = 1.0	0.040	0.867
3	CES	Resistant	1	11	P = 0.660	0.251	0.405
3	CFS	Sensitive	7	27	P = 0.000	0.351	0.405
		Resistant	1	10			0.455
4	CPM	Sensitive	7	28	P = 0.658	0.400	
		Sensitive	7	23			
5	IPM	Resistant	2	12	P = 1.0	0.694	0.738
5	IPINI	Sensitive	6	25	P = 1.0		
6	GEN	Resistant	2	3	P = 0.203	3.889	2.733
0	GEN	Sensitive	6	35	r = 0.203		
7	NA	Resistant	2	8	P = 1.0	1.150	1.120
1	INA	Sensitive	5	23	P = 1.0		
8	CIP	Resistant	3	11	P = 0.684	1.473	1.371
0	CIF	Sensitive	5	27	P = 0.084		1.371
9		Resistant	2	6	P = 0.623	1.667	1.500
9 TGC	Sensitive	6	30	r = 0.023	1.007	1.500	
10	10 NIT	Resistant	6	21	P = 0.648	2.857	2.444
10	NIT	Sensitive	1	10			2.444
11	TRS	Resistant	3	25	D = 0.222	0.312	0.386
11	113	Sensitive	5	13	P = 0.232	0.312	0.360

<b>Iddle 5.</b> Susceptionity analysis of <i>Enterobacters</i> bb. to constin and other antibiotic	Table 3: Susceptibili	ty analysis of Enterobacters pp.	to colistin and other antibiotics
--	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

N=1852. CXM-A - Cefuroxime Axetil; CTR - Ceftriaxone; CFS - Cefoperazonesulbactum; CPM - Cefepime; IPM - Imipenem; GEN - Gentamycin; NA - Nalidixicacid; CIP - Ciprofloxacin; TGC - Tigicycline; NIT - Nitrofurantoin; TRS - Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole.

#### 8. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the S.V.S Medical College for approving the study design and their constant support throughout study.

#### References

- Sherry NL, Lee RS, Gorrie CL, Kwong JC, Stuart RL, Korman TM, et al. Pilot study of a combined genomic and epidemiologic surveillance program for hospital-acquired multidrug-resistant pathogens across multiple hospital networks in Australia. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemio*. 2021;42(5):573–81.
- Silva KE, Rossato L, Leite AF, Simionatto S. Overview of polymyxin resistance in Enterobacteriaceae. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop*;55:e0349– 2021. doi:10.1590/0037-8682-0349-2021.
- Feretzakis G, Loupelis E, Sakagianni A, Valakis K, Petropoulou S, Koutalas E, et al. A 2-Year Single-Centre Audit on Antibiotic Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii and Klebsiella pneumoniae Strains from an Intensive Care Unit and Other Wards in a General Public Hospital in Greece. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2019;8(2):62. doi:10.3390/antibiotics8020062.
- Desriac F, Harras AE, Simon M, Bondon A, Brillet B, Chevalier PL, et al. Alterins Produced by Oyster-Associated Pseudoalteromonas Are Antibacterial Cyclolipopeptides with LPS-Binding Activity. *Mar Drugs*. 2020;18(12):630. doi:10.3390/md18120630.
- Manandhar S, Zellweger RM, Maharjan N, Dongol S, Prajapati KG, Thwaites G, et al. A high prevalence of multi-drug resistant Gram-negative bacilli in a Nepali tertiary care hospital and associated widespread distribution of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase-encoding genes. Ann Clin

*Microbiol Antimicrob.* 2020;19(1):48. doi:10.1186/s12941-020-00390-y.

- Ahmed M, Zhong LL, Shen C, Yang Y, Doi Y, Tian GB, et al. Colistin and its role in the Era of antibiotic resistance: an extended review. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2000;9(1):868–85.
- Valiakos G, Kapna I. Colistin Resistant mcr Genes Prevalence in Livestock Animals (Swine, Bovine, Poultry) from a Multinational Perspective. A Systematic Review. *Vet Sci.* 2021;8(11):265. doi:10.3390/vetsci8110265.
- Wang Z, Fu Y, Schwarz S, Yin W, Walsh TR, Zhou Y, et al. Genetic environment of colistin resistance genes mcr-1 and mcr-3 in Escherichiacoli from one pig farm in China. *Vet Microbiol.* 2019;230:56–61. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.01.011.
- Jani K, Srivastava V, Sharma P, Vir A, Sharma A. Easy Access to Antibiotics; Spread of Antimicrobial Resistance and Implementation of One Health Approach in India. *J Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2021;11(4):444–52.
- Morris S, Cerceo E. Trends, Epidemiology, and Management of Multi-Drug Resistant Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections in the Hospitalized Setting. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2020;9(4):196. doi:10.3390/antibiotics9040196.
- Wang C, Feng Y, Liu L, Wei L, Kang M, Zong Z, et al. Identification of novel mobile colistin resistance gene mcr-10. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9(1):508–16.
- Ling Z, Yin W, Shen Z, Wang Y, Shen J, Walsh TR, et al. Epidemiology of mobile colistin resistance genes mcr-1 to mcr-9. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75(11):3087–95.
- Saranya K. Phenotypic and genotypic detection of colistin resistance from clinical isolates of Escherichia coli in a tertiary care hospital -ProQuest. J Pharm Sci Res. 2020;12(7):880–4.
- Stefaniuk EM, Tyski S. Colistin resistance in Enterobacterales strains - A current view. *Polish J Microbiol*. 2019;68(4):417–27.

- Kai J, Wang S. Recent progress on elucidating the molecular mechanism of plasmid-mediatedcolistin resistance and drug design. *Int Microbiol.* 2020;23(3):355–66.
- Mathur P, Khurana S, Tom JB, Man ND, Rastogi O, Katoch B, et al. Multiple importations and transmission of colistin-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in a hospital in northern India. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2019;40(12):1387–93.
- Mitra S, Basu S, Rath S, Sahu SK. Colistin resistance in Gramnegative ocular infections: prevalence, clinical outcome and antibiotic susceptibility patterns. *Int Ophthalmol.* 2020;40(5):1307–17.
- Ghosh D, Veeraraghavan B, Elangovan R, Vivekanandan P. Antibiotic Resistance and Epigenetics: More to It than Meets the Eye. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(2). doi:10.1128/AAC.02225-19.
- Mlynarcik P, Kolar M. Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance and detection of mcr genes. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub.* 2019;163(1):28–38.
- D'Onofrio V, Conzemius R, Varda-Brkić D, Bogdan M, Grisold A, Gyssens IC, et al. Epidemiology of colistin-resistant, carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter baumannii in Croatia. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2020;81:104263. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104263.
- Chauhan S, Kaur N, Saini AK, Aman S, Chauhan J, Kumar H, et al. Colistin Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated from Various Clinical Samples in North Indian Tertiary Care Center. *IJPQA*.

2022;13(3):325-9.

 Garg A, Garg J, Kumar S, Bhattacharya A, Agarwal S, Upadhyay GC, et al. Molecular epidemiology & therapeutic options of carbapenemresistantGram-negative bacteria. *Indian J Med Res.* 2019;149(2):285– 9.

#### Author biography

Bhumireddy Rama Chandra Reddy, Assistant Professor

Ramavath Usha Rani, Associate Professor

Sravanthi Brungi, Assistant Professor

Sreeja Vamsi Kogila, Research Scholar

Cite this article: Reddy BRC, Rani RU, Brungi S, Kogila SV. Susceptibility analysis of various bacteria towards colistin and other antibiotics in clinical isolates. *IP Int J Med Microbiol Trop Dis* 2023;9(4):275-281.