Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT): A New dimension of Imaging with Basics and Clinical applications in Dentistry

Akash Kumar Baranwal¹, Adit Srivastava^{2,*}, Akhilanand Chaurasia³

¹Service Senior Resident, ²Associate Professor, Faculty of Dental Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, ³Assistant Professor, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George Medical University, Lucknow

*Corresponding Author:

Email: dr.adit69@gmail.com

Abstract

For the clinical assessment of the dental patients, imaging is an important diagnostic adjunct. Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) systems have been designed for three dimensionally imaging of hard tissues of the maxillofacial region including the teeth and surrounding tissues. CBCT is a new application of computed tomography (CT) that generates image data at lower cost and absorbed doses than conventional CT used for medical radiology. Most of the attention regarding CBCT imaging has focused on applications for dental implant placement, oral surgery, endodontics, orthodontics and temporomandibular joint imaging.

The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of this CBCT technology and an understanding of its basics and the clinical applications in dentistry.

Key Words: CBCT, Imaging, Conventional CT, Voxel, Three dimensional, Radiograph

Introduction

Problem of clear visibility is the main disadvantage of conventional intraoral panoramic imaging¹, which is largely the result of representation of a three dimensional (3D) structure as a two-dimensional (2D) image. This limitation becomes clear when considering the and periodontal³ and endodontic applications¹. Since the first intraoral radiograph obtained in 1896, dentistry has been involved in to the same method of 2D imaging. Since then, only one or two significant advances in dental radiographic imaging have been made apart from including panoramic this imaging tomography.

However, intraoral and extraoral radiography, used separately or in combination, could not avoid some inherent limitations of 2D projections such as magnification, distortion, superimposition, and misrepresentation of structures. Numerous efforts have established 3D radiographic imaging as a possible technique (e.g. stereoscopy, tuned aperture computed tomography), still, the application of computed tomography (CT) in dentistry is limited because of cost, access, and dose considerations.⁴

A new imaging technology based on conebeam has existed since the 1980s⁵. However, the advancement of technology, its applications and advantages has justified the use of cone-beam volumetric tomography (CBVT)^{6,7} or cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT)for dentistry.⁸

Cone-Beam CT Technology

Basic principle: In this technology, a cone-shaped beam of radiation acquires a volume in a single 360-degree rotation, similar to panoramic radiography^{6,7}. Just as a digital image is made of basic unit pixels, the volume acquired by a CBVT is composed of voxels. Basically, a voxel is a 3-D pixel. Compare to the slices, here, the data are captured in a volume (voxels) which are isotropic in nature, enabling the accurate measurement of objects in different directions. However, the medical CT voxels are anisotropic in nature and are determined by the slice thickness or pitch (1–2 mm thick)⁹. In general, as compared to CBCT voxel, a medical CT voxel does not represent a perfect cube, and their measurements made in different planes are not accurate. Apart from increased accuracy, the CBCT also provide higher resolution, low scan-time, low radiation dose, and reduced cost for the patient⁹⁻¹¹.

Using a special viewer software¹², the operatorcan scroll and go through the whole volume and at the same time, can visualize the axial, coronal, and sagittal 2-D sections that range from 0.125–2.0 mm thickness. The axial and proximal (sagittal in the anterior, coronal in the posterior) views are of main importance, as they

cannot be generally visualized with conventional IOPA radiographs.

The incorporated special software processes the volumetric data into a format that closely resembles to that of medical CT scanners. Generally, a pixel matrix consisting of 262144 (512 x 512) pixels are produced by each miniexposure or projection image. The resulting dataset obtained can have up to 580 individual matrices, which are then reconstructed into 3D data sets with the help of software, consisting of over 100 million voxels(512³). This reconstruction is generated very shortly within minutes. To achieve an increased resolution, the number of pixels per matrix may be increased from 512² to 1024². The final reconstructed 3D data volume will have 10243 voxels, and each voxel turns in to half its original size. But it may require two to three fold increase in radiation exposure for this enhanced resolution.4

Depending on type of scanner used and exposure parameters, scan times for CBCT ranges from 10 to 40 s long. The actual exposure time is limited to only 2–5 s, since the pulsed X-ray beam results in up to 580 individual 'mini-exposures' or 'projection images' during entire scan. This property makes the CBCT superior and advantageous over CT scanners. The speedy scanning time and the advanced image receptor sensors used for CBCT result in further reduction of radiation dose.¹³

Types of CBCT Scanners

Depending on field of view (FOV), CBVT systems can be divided into 2-categories, limited (dental or regional) CBVT or full (ortho or facial) CBVT. The limited category has FOV ranges in diameter from 40 –100 mm, whereas the FOV of full category ranges from 100 –200 mm. Also, a voxel is generally smaller for the limited category than the full CBCT (0.1–0.2 mm vs 0.3–0.4 mm). Hence, the limited CBCT systems generates higher resolution and they may have better application in endodontic.¹⁴

Recently, with the development of inexpensive x-ray tubes, high-quality detector systems and powerful personal computers, there are some affordable commercially available systems. Such systems may include New Tom QR DVT 9000 (Quantitative Radiology, Verona, Italy), CB MercuRay (Hitachi Medical Corp., Kashiwa-shi, Chiba-ken, Japan), 3D Accuitomo – XYZ Slice View Tomograph (J. Morita Mfg

Corp., Kyoto, Japan) and i-CAT (Xoran Technologies, Ann Arbor, Mich., and Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA).

These units can be divided based on their xray detection system^{15,16}. Most CBCT units for maxillofacial applications have an image intensifier tube (IIT) -charge coupled device. Recently, a system consisting of a flat panel imager (FPI) was introduced (i-CAT). 17,18 The FPI consists of a cesium iodide scintillator applied to a thin film transistor made of amorphous silicon. The basic difference between these two is that images produced with FPI generally result in lesser noise than images from an IIT and also to overcome geometric distortions inherent in the detector configuration of IIT, preprocessing need to be done. 15,16

Cone-beam CT image production⁴

Present cone-beam machines provide three possible positions to scan patients: (1) sitting, (2) standing, and (3) supine. Machines that utilize the supine or sitting units have some demerits like inability to adjust with physically disabled or wheelchair-bound patients. While on other hand, seated units are the most comfortable; still, fixed seats may not able to scan physically disabled or wheelchair-bound patients. Because scan times are often greater than those of panoramic imaging, the head restraint mechanism becomes more important than patient orientation. Despite patient orientation within the machine, the principles of image production remain the same which consist of four components i.e. (1) acquisition configuration, (2) image detection, (3) image reconstruction, and (4) image display.

Radiation Dose of CBCT

The effective radiation dose for CBCT is considered to be much lower as compared with medical CT and comparable to that received from routine diagnostic imaging 19,20 . Various brands and its array of settings determines effective doses of different CBCT equipments. Effective doses of digital panoramic radiography range from 4.7–14.9 microsieverts (μSV) per scan 21 . The effective dose for the New tom 9000 (Verona, Italy) was found to be 50.3 μSV^{20} while the effective dose for a full mouth series has been reported to range from 33– 84 μSV^{22} , depending on different variables.

Advantages of CBCT9

CBCT technology used for dentistry offers several advantages over conventional CT:

Low radiation dose: Radiation dose is minimized due to reduction in the size of the irradiated area by collimation of the primary x-ray beam to the area of interest.

Enhanced resolution & image accuracy: The resolution of the image is determined by the size of the voxels. Because of isotropic voxels of CBCT, it produces sub-millimeter resolution ranging from 0.4 mm to as low as 0.125mm (Accuitomo).

Fast scan time: Because CBCT acquires all basis images in a single rotation, scan time is rapid and comparable with that of medical CT systems.

Diminished image artifact: With manufacturers' artifact suppression algorithms and increasing number of projections, CBCT images can have a low level of metal artifact, particularly in secondary reconstructions designed for viewing the teeth and jaws.

Unique display modes for maxillofacial imaging: Because of isotropic voxels of CBCT, the entire volume can be reoriented in order to realign the patient's anatomic features. In addition, cursor-driven measurement algorithms have made the real-time dimensional assessment possible.

Limitations of CBCT¹³

- Diminished resolution than conventional radiographs: The spatial resolution of conventional radiograph and digital sensors is in the order of 15–20 line pairs/mm as compared to CBCT images which have a spatial resolution of 2 line pairs/mm only.
- Beam scattering and beam hardening: It is generally resulted by high density neighboring structures, such as enamel, metal posts and restorations. If such scattering and beam hardening occurs close to or with the tooth being assessed, the final CBCT images may have minimal diagnostic value.
- **Lengthy scan time:** It requires lengthy scan time compared to conventional radiograph and require the patient to stay absolutely still.

Application of CBCT Imaging to Clinical Dentistry: The discussion below will focus on the diagnostic and treatment-planning applications of CBCT in clinical dentistry:

Dento-maxillofacial Imaging: Advanced CBCT imaging techniques are used in dentomaxillofacial imaging to solve complex diagnostic and treatment-planning problems, such as those involving the craniofacial fractures, endosseous dental-implant planning, orthodontics and endodontics etc.²³

Implantology: It is an invaluable tool during preoperative planning for complicated endosseous dental implantation procedures²⁴. Previous articles have documented the ability of CBCT images to characterize mandibular and alveolar bone morphology, as well as to visualize the maxillary sinuses, incisive canal, mandibular canal, and mental foramina, all structures particularly important in surgical planning for dental implantation.²⁵⁻²⁷

Craniofacial Fractures: Imaging of complex high-contrast bony structural pathology such as craniofacial fractures is a logical application for CBCT. There was a case series²⁸, which reported two patients with facial trauma for whom CBCT was used to evaluate the mandibular head fracture, dental root fractures, and the displacement of anterior maxillary teeth. Since that time, several additional reports have confirmed the low-dose high-resolution properties of CBCT imaging in preoperative evaluation of mandibular and orbital floor fractures.²⁹⁻³¹

The intraoperative uses of C-arm CBCT systems have been evaluated for fractures of the zygomatico-maxillary complex (ZMC), demonstrating the feasibility of CBCT use in surgical navigation, localization of bony fragments, and evaluation of screw anchorage and plate fittings with low levels of metal artifact. 32,33

Orthodontics: Low-cost CBCT imaging can also be used for orthodontic applications, such as assessment of palatal bone thickness, skeletal growth patterns, dental age estimation, upper airway evaluation, and visualization of impacted teeth. 34-36 Althoughinitial reports are promising, these cross-sectional techniques provide superior image quality of dental and surrounding structures for advanced orthodontic treatment planning. 37

Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ): CBCT has recently promoted the research in field of TMJ imaging, though initial results have yet to be proven for its efficacy into clinical cases. Several

cadaveric series have explored the use of CBCT to assess periarticular bony defects, flattening, osteophytes, and sclerotic changes in TMJ. 38-40

Endodontics: CBCT has great promising results to become a valuable diagnostic and treatment planning tool in the modern endodontic practice. Potential endodontic applications of CBCT include diagnosis of endodontic pathosis and canal morphology, assessment of pathosis of nonendodontic origin, evaluation of root fractures and trauma, analysis of external and internal root resorption and invasive cervical resorption, and presurgical planning.

CBCT becomes superior to periapical radiographs in the characterization of periapical lucent lesions, reliably demonstrating lesion proximity to the maxillary sinus, sinus membrane involvement, and lesion location relative to the mandibular canal.⁴¹⁻⁴³ The role for CBCT in early detection of periapical disease could lead to better endodontic treatment outcomes.¹³

Periodontics: The first reported applications of CBCT in periodontology were for diagnostic and treatment-outcome evaluations of periodontitis.⁴⁴ In-vitro studies later demonstrated the ability of CBCT to accurately reconstruct periodontal intrabony and fenestration defects, dehiscences, and root furcation involvements in comparison with radiography, MDCT and histologic measurements.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

Although CBCT can better visualize the periodontal bony defects, the conventional radiography still provide higher quality bony contrast and delineation of the lamina dura.⁴⁷

Conclusion

CBCT is a new imaging dimension of CT technology, which has potential applications for imaging of high-contrast structures in the dentomaxillofacial regions. When compared with medical CT, CBCT has increased accuracy, higher resolution, reduced scan time, a reduction in radiation dose, and reduced cost for the patient. When indicated, three-dimensional CBCT scans may supplement conventional 'two dimensional' radiographic techniques, which at present have higher resolution than CBCT images.

References

- Kundel HL, Revesz G. Lesion conspicuity, structured noise, and film reader error. Am J Roentgenol 1976;126:1233–8.
- Kalathingal SM, Mol A, Tyndall DA, Caplan DJ. In vitro assessment of cone beam local computed tomography for proximal caries detection. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104:699–704.
- 3. Mol A. Imaging methods in periodontology. Periodontol 2000;2004(34):34–8.
- Scarfe WC, Farman AG. What is Cone-Beam CT and How Does it Work? Dent Clin N Am 2008;52:707–30.
- Robb RA, Sinak LJ, Hoffman EA, Kinsey JH, Harris LD, Ritman EL. Dynamic volume imaging of moving organs. J Med Syst 1982;6:539 –54.
- Danforth RA, Dus I, Mah J. 3-D volume imaging for dentistry: a new dimension. J Calif Dent Assoc 2003;31:817–23.
- Winter AA, Pollack AS, Frommer HH, Koenig L. Cone beam volumetric tomography vs medical CT scanners. N Y State Dent J 2005;71:28–33.
- 8. Arnheiter C, Scarfe WC, Farman AG. Trends in maxillofacial cone-beam computed tomography usage. Oral Radiol 2006;22:80 –5.
- Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical applications of cone-beam computed tomography in dental practice. J Can Dent Assoc 2006;72:75–80.
- Yajima A, Otonari-Yamamoto M, Sano T, Hayakawa Y, Otonari T, Tanabe K, et al. Cone-beam CT (CB Throne) applied to dentomaxillofacial region. Bull Tokyo Dent Coll 2006;47:133–41.
- Ziegler CM, Woertche R, Brief J, Hassfeld S. Clinical indications for digital volume tomography in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2002;31:126–30.
- 12. Kagawa T, Fukunari F, Shiraishi T, Yamasaki M, Ichihara T, Kihara Y et al. Development of a simple image viewer designed for small X-ray field CT equipment 3DX. Oral Radiol 2006;22:47–51.
- S. Patel. New dimensions in endodontic imaging: Part 2.
 Cone beam computed tomography. IntEndod J 2009;42:463–475.
- Cotton TP, Geisler TM, Holden DT, Schwartz SA, Schindler WG. Endodontic Applications of Cone-Beam Volumetric Tomography. J Endod 2007;33(9):1121-32.
- Baba R, Konno Y, Ueda K, Ikeda S. Comparison of flatpanel detector and image-intensifier detector for conebeam CT. Comput Med Imaging Graph 2002;26(3):153– 8.
- Baba R, Ueda K, Okabe M. Using a flat-panel detector in high resolution cone beam CT for dental imaging. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2004;33(5):285–90.
- 17. Sukovic P, Brooks S, Perez L, Clinthorne NH. DentoCAT
 a novel design of a cone beam CT scanner for dentomaxillofacial imaging: introduction and preliminary results. In: Lemke HU, Vannier MW, Inamura K, Farman AG, Doi K, editors. Computer assisted radiology and surgery. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science 2001:659–64.
- Sukovic P. Cone beam computed tomography in craniofacial imaging. Orthod Craniofac Res 2003;6(Suppl 1):31–6.
- Tsiklakis K, Donta C, Gavala S, Karayianni K, Kamenopoulou V, Hourdakis CJ. Dose reduction in maxillofacial imaging using low dose Cone Beam CT. Eur J Radiol 2005;56:413-7.
- 20. Mah JK, Danforth RA, Bumann A, Hatcher D. Radiation absorbed in maxillofacial imaging with a new dental

- computed tomography device. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod 2003;96:508 –13.
- Gijbels F, Jacobs R, Bogaerts R, Debaveye D, Verlinden S, Sanderink G. Dosimetry of digital panoramic imaging. Part I: patient exposure. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2005;34:145–9.
- Gibbs SJ. Effective dose equivalent and effective dose: comparison for common projections in oral and maxillofacial radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2000;90:538–45.
- A.C. Miracle, S.K. Mukherji. Conebeam CT of the Head and Neck, Part 2: Clinical Applications. Am J Neuroradiol 2009;30:1285–92.
- Tyndall DA, Brooks SL. Selection criteria for dental implant site imaging: a position paper of the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2000;89:630– 37
- Lofthag-Hansen S, Gro ndahl K, Ekestubbe A. Conebeam CT for preoperative implant planning in the posterior mandible: visibility of anatomic landmarks. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2008. [Epub ahead of print]
- 26. Ito K, Gomi Y, Sato S, et al. Clinical application of a new compact CT system to assess 3-D images for the preoperative treatment planning of implants in the posterior mandible: a case report. Clin Oral Implants Res 2001;12:539–42.
- 27. Sato S, Arai Y, Shinoda K, Arai Y, Shinoda K. Clinical application of a new cone-beam computerized tomography system to assess multiple two-dimensional images for the preoperative treatment planning of maxillary implants: case reports. Quintessence Int 2004;35:525–28.
- Terakado M, Hashimoto K, Arai Y, Honda M, Sekiwa T, Sato H. Diagnostic imaging with newly developed ortho cubic super-high resolution computed tomography (Ortho-CT). Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2000;89:509–18.
- Ziegler CM, Woertche R, Brief J, Hassfeld S. Clinical indications for digital volume tomography in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2002;31:126–30.
- Drage NA, Sivarajasingam V. The use of cone beam computed tomography in the management of isolated orbital floor fractures. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;47:65–66.
- 31. Zizelmann C, Gellrich NC, Metzger MC, Schoen R, Schmelzeisen R, Schramm A. Computer-assisted reconstruction of orbital floor based on cone beam tomography. Br J Oral MaxillofacSurg 2007;45:79–80.
- 32. Heiland M, Schulze D, Blake F, Schmelzle R. Intraoperative imaging of zygomaticomaxillary complex fractures using a 3D C-arm system. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2005;34:369–75.
- Pohlenz P, Blessmann M, Blake F, Heinrich S, Schmelzle R, Heiland M. Clinical indications and perspectives for intraoperative cone-beam computed tomography in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:412– 17
- Gracco A, Lombardo L, Cozzani M, Siciliani G. Quantitative cone-beam computed tomography evaluation of palatal bone thickness for orthodontic miniscrew placement. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008;134:361–69.
- King KS, Lam EW, Faulkner MG, Heo G, Major PW.
 Vertical bone volume in the paramedian palate of

- adolescents: a computed tomography study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007;132:783–88.
- Garrett BJ, Caruso JM, Rungcharassaeng K, Farrage JR, Kim JS, Taylor GD. Skeletal effects to the maxilla after rapid maxillary expansion assessed with cone-beam computed tomography. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008;134:8–9.
- 37. Holberg C, Steinhauser S, Geis P, Rudzki-Janson I. Conebeam computed tomography in orthodontics: benefits and limitations. J Orofac Orthop 2005;66:434–44.
- 38. Hintze H, Wiese M, Wenzel A. Cone beam CT and conventional tomography for the detection of morphological temporomandibular joint changes. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2007;36:192–97.
- 39. Honda K, Arai Y, Kashima M, Takano Y, Sawada K, Ejima K, et al. Evaluation of the usefulness of the limited cone-beam CT (3DX) in the assessment of the thickness of the roof of the glenoid fossa of the temporomandibular joint. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2004;33:391–95
- Honda K, Larheim TA, Maruhashi K, Matsumoto K, Iwai K. Osseous abnormalities of the mandibular condyle: diagnostic reliability of cone beam computed tomography compared with helical computed tomography based on an autopsy material. DentomaxillofacRadiol 2006;35:152– 57.
- Lofthag-Hansen S, Huumonen S, Grondahl K, Grondahi HG. Limited cone-beam CT and intraoral radiography for the diagnosis of periapical pathology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:114–19.
- Nakata K, Naitoh M, Izumi M, Inamoto K, Ariji E, Nakamura H. Effectiveness of dental computed tomography in diagnostic imaging of periradicular lesion of each root of a multirooted tooth: a case report. J Endod 2006;32:583–87.
- Low KM, Dula K, Burgin W, von Arx T. Comparison of periapical radiography and limited cone-beam tomography in posterior maxillary teeth referred for apical surgery. J Endod 2008;34:557–62.
- 44. Ito K, Yoshinuma N, Goke E, Arai Y, Shinoda K. Clinical application of a new compact computed tomography system for evaluating the outcome of regenerative therapy: a case report. J Periodontol 2001;72:696–702.
- 45. Mengel R, Candir M, Shiratori K, Flores-de-Jacoby L. Digital volume tomography in the diagnosis of periodontal defects: an in vitro study on native pig and human mandibles. J Periodontol 2005;76:665–73
- Misch KA, Yi ES, Sarment DP. Accuracy of cone beam computed tomography for periodontal defect measurements. J Periodontol 2006;77:1261–66.
- 47. Vandenberghe B, Jacobs R, Yang J. Diagnostic validity (or acuity) of 2D CCD versus 3D CBCT-images for assessing periodontal breakdown. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104:395–401.